

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CULTURE STORM Arizona Corporation Commission				
2	COMMISSIONERS DOCKETED				
345	JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MARC SPITZER MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES JUN 2 9 2006 DOCKETED BY				
6 7 8	IN THE MATTER OF U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE WITH § 271 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996. DOCKET NO. T-00000A-97-0238 DECISION NO. 68821 ORDER				
9	Open Meeting June 27 & 28, 2006 Phoenix, Arizona				
11 12	BY THE COMMISSION: * * * * * * * * * * * *				
13 14	Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the				
15	Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:				
16	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>				
17	1. On May 21, 2002, the Commission issued Decision No. 64836 which determined				
18	whether Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") was in compliance with Checklist Item No. 4 of the 14 point				
19	checklist that specifies the access and interconnection a Bell Operating Company ("BOC") must				
20	provide to other telecommunications carriers in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 271 of the				
21	Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.				
22	2. Checklist Item No. 4 concerns access to the local loop.				
23	3. In Decision No. 64836, the Commission adopted Statement of Statement of Generally				
24	Available Terms and Conditions ("SGAT") language that provides for an independent audit of				
25	Qwest's loop qualification systems. In that Decision, the Commission ordered:				
26 27 28	An audit shall be conducted by an independent third party selected by the Commission 18 months after approval of Qwest Corporation's Section 271 application, of Qwest's company records, back office systems and of databases to determine that Qwest is providing the same access to loop qualification information to CLECs to which any Qwest employee has				

access. Thereafter, audits by an independent third-party selected by the Commission shall be conducted on a periodic basis, but no more than every 18 months upon request and demonstration of need by a CLEC providing DSL services. Decision No. 64836 at p 32.

- 4. Qwest received Federal Communications Commission approval of its Section 271 application on December 2, 2003. Thus, under the terms of Decision No. 64836, the subject audit would be scheduled to commence on or around June 3, 2005.
- 5. On March 29, 2005, Qwest filed an Application for Waiver from the Independent Audit Requirement of Decision No. 64836. In support of its request, Qwest alleged that since it received Section 271 approval, it has been providing loop qualification data to CLECs, pursuant to SGAT provisions, in a manner that confirms Qwest's system is working and that issues surrounding non-discriminatory CLEC access have been resolved.
- 6. By Procedural Order dated April 20, 2005, the Commission set deadlines for responding to Qwest's Application for Waiver. The Procedural Order required Staff to file a Staff Report on the request by May 31, 2005.
- 7. Pursuant to the April 20, 2005 Procedural Order, Dieca Communications, Inc. dba Covad Communications Company ("Covad") filed a Response on May 11, 2005. Covad was the only party to file a response to the request. Covad opposed a waiver.
- 8. On April 20, 2005, Qwest and Commission Utility Division Staff ("Staff") notified the Hearing Division that Qwest desired to talk with Covad about its objections and expressed the belief that the Staff Report would be more fully informed after the results of the Qwest/Covad discussions. Qwest and Staff requested an extension of the deadline for the Staff Report.
- 9. By Procedural Order dated May 27, 2005, the Commission extended the deadline for the May 31, 2005 Staff Report pending further Order of the Commission.
- 10. At a Procedural Conference on June 1, 2005, Qwest and Covad reported that they were engaging in discussions that might resolve the issues raised by Covad.
- 11. By Procedural Order dated June 13, 2005, the Commission scheduled a Procedural Conference for July 6, 2005 to determine the status of the parties' discussions. The June 13, 2005, Procedural Order suspended Qwest's obligations to engage in a third-party audit under Decision No. 64836 pending Commission resolution of the waiver request.

4

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

- 12. At the July 6, 2005 Procedural Conference, the parties reported that they had not been able to resolve their differences and that the parties, including Staff, were still engaged in discovery. The parties recommended another status conference in approximately 30 days.
- 13. By Procedural Ordered dated July 6, 2005, a Procedural Conference was set for August 4, 2005. At the August 4, 2005 Procedural Conference, Qwest indicted that it intended to file a revised wavier request and requested at least 30 days to have it docketed. No party objected. All parties agreed that the matter could be submitted to the Administrative Law Judge based on the pleadings without oral argument or a hearing.
- 14. By Procedural Order dated August 9, 2005, the Commission ordered Qwest to file its revised waiver request by September 6, 2005; that interested parties file comments on Qwest's revised waiver request by September 27, 2005; and that Staff file its Staff Report containing its analysis and recommendations by October 18, 2005.
- 15. After a request by Qwest for a brief extension, by Procedural Order dated September 12, 2005, the Commission extended the filing date for Qwest's revised request until September 20, 2005. The September 12, 2005 Procedural Order also extended the date for responses and the Staff Report.
- 16. On September 20, 2005, Qwest filed a Revised Application for Wavier From Independent Audit Requirement of Decision No. 64836.
- 17. On October 4, 2005, Covad filed a Response to Owest's Revised Application for Waiver.
 - 18. On October 26, 2005, Staff filed its Staff Report.
 - 19. Qwest did not file reply comments to the Staff Report.

Owest's Position

20. Qwest characterizes Covad's concerns as revolving around the accuracy, due to timing, of the loop data that Covad accesses in the Raw Loop Data Wire Center download. The Raw Loop Data Wire Center download is a bulk extract of an entire wire center's loop data including the most recent updates to the Loop Qualification Database ("LQDB") on the date the extract is created. (Qwest Sept. 20, 2005 Waiver Application at 2-3). Qwest states that the Raw Loop Data Wire Center

3

data file that Covad uses is in a "flat file," meaning that it is a snapshot of loop information. Qwest states that on any given day, the bulk data file information may not contain the most current information for any given loop that was changed after the date of the last bulk extract. Qwest states it has informed Covad that Qwest's existing hardware and software, and information technologies systems do not provide bulk updates to the data bases more frequently than the 20 business day refresh cycle and cannot be made to do so without significant expense. (Id. at 3).

- 21. Qwest states that since Covad was the only party to express concerns that center on the bulk extract loop qualification data file, and that more extensive auditing than that raised by the Covad issue is unwarranted and should be waived.
 - 22. In its revised waiver request, Qwest proposes the following scope of audit:
- (a) The independent third party auditor will assess the process for updating the Loop Qualification Database ("LQDB") to verify that bulk updates to the database and therefore bulk updates available to Qwest personnel are no more frequent than the 20 business day refresh cycle experienced by Covad through its use of the Raw Loop Data Wire Center download.
 - (b) The assessment would include review of:
 - (i) Existing Qwest documentation such as Qwest Information Technologies' Software Component Specifications;
 - (ii) Existing Qwest procedures for scheduling and running IT jobs that execute the refresh of loop data in the LQBD and the creation of the Bulk RLD Wire Center files;
 - (iii) Existing Qwest procedures identifying the available methods for executing a loop qualification.
 - (c) At the conclusion of the assessment, the auditor will attest to:
 - (i) The frequency of bulk updates to the source of all loop qualification/make-up queries, i.e., LQDB;
 - (ii) Availability of bulk loop qualification/make-up information by Qwest personnel.

Covad's Position.

- 23. Qwest argues that the Regional Oversight Commission ("ROC")¹ Operations Support System ("OSS") Tests were third party tests of Qwest's OSS and provided independent confirmation that Qwest's OSS complies with the Act.
- 24. The ROC members, other than Arizona, agreed to pursue the OSS testing to evaluate compliance with the Act. Qwest states that ROC Test 12.7 was designed to review "DSL loop qualification processes and procedures developed and employed by Qwest to support both retail and wholesale customers." Qwest attached the final ROC Test 12 and 12. 7 to its revised waiver request. Part of the ROC testing focused on whether "parity exists in the design implementation, and use of Qwest's loop qualification process." Qwest states that the ROC tests determined that internal process flows are consistent for both retail and wholesale operations and that back office systems provided consistent results for both Wholesale and Retail queries; and further, that the same database, the Loop Qualification Database, is the single source for all queries. Qwest argues that the ROC Tests 12 and 12.7 are detailed, robust and thorough independent evaluations of Qwest's loop qualification tools, and are conclusive evidence that Qwest's loop qualification tools provide to CLECs the same access to loop qualification information as provided to any Qwest employee. Thus, Qwest argues, there is no evidence that another complete audit of Qwest's systems is warranted now. Qwest asserts that its tailored audit proposal as stated above is calculated to address the concerns raised by Covad.
- 25. Covad states that Qwest does not appear to understand its position. Covad states it does not receive timely and accurate loop qualification data (as compared to the three other regional BOCs), and that this underscores a serious weakness in Qwest's processes which calls into question whether the information is being made available to Covad on a non-discriminatory basis. Covad asserts that in 2002 when Qwest was attempting to obtain a favorable recommendation from the Commission to allow Qwest to provide long distance in Arizona, Qwest agreed to submit to an independent audit 18 months after entry of the Order, and now Qwest is attempting to evade this obligation. (Covad Oct. 4, 2005 Response at 1-2).

¹ The ROC is comprised of the 14 state commissions regulating telecommunications in Qwest's operating area.

- 26. Covad states that Qwest's revised application is fine as far as it goes, but that it does not go far enough. Covad states that the purpose of the audit is designed to determine whether Qwest is providing CLECs with non-discriminatory access to its loop qualification information and systems, but by limiting the audit to an examination of a single loop qualification system, Covad asserts Qwest would render it impossible for an auditor to know whether in fact Qwest's other loop qualification information and if systems are offered and available to CLECs on the same basis as to Qwest employees.
- 27. Covad states that its need for raw loop data is driven by the demand for DSL loops each month and it relies on accurate data provided in the raw loop data files. Covad states that other loop qualification systems that Qwest purportedly offers do not allow Covad to qualify a large number of loops at the same time.
- 28. Covad argues the burden is on Qwest to demonstrate why a waiver should be granted. Covad asserts that without an audit, it would be difficult for a CLEC to know if there is sufficient basis to support a complaint.
- 29. Covad asserts that Qwest's reliance on the ROC OSS test misses the point. Covad states that this Commission performed its own 3rd party Section 271 audit of Qwest's systems, and based upon that evaluation, ordered Qwest to undergo an audit 18 months after entry of the Order. Furthermore, Covad claims there have been changes to Qwest's loop qualification systems since the ROC tests that have never been independently reviewed or audited.

Staff's Recommendations

- 30. Staff's investigation into this request indicates that in the 16 months prior to the Staff Report, no CLECs have asked for loop qualification audits as part of their interconnection agreements.
- 31. In response to Staff data requests, Qwest estimated the cost of an audit as required under Decision No. 64836 to be \$140,000, and that it would require 6-8 weeks to complete. Qwest estimates that the cost of the limited audit it proposes would cost \$50,000 and take 3-4 weeks. Based on its experience, Staff believes the estimates are reasonable.
 - 32. Staff concluded that absent more detailed evaluation and analysis, no evidence exists

28 | .

to substantiate discriminatory behavior by Qwest related to its compliance with Decision No. 64836.

- 33. Staff states that accepting Qwest's revised application would waive the independent audit required by Decision No. 64836 while shifting the focus from non-discriminatory access to information accuracy.
- 34. Staff notes that Qwest accepted the independent audit condition within Decision No. 64836, and believes that Qwest must have assumed its full compliance and anticipated acceptable results. Staff states that while the Commission may consider new information at any time, there is no evidence in Decision No. 64836 that Qwest attempted to make its acceptance of the independent audit conditional on compliance and results.
- 35. Staff recommends that the audit should be conducted as described in Decision No. 64836. Staff generally agrees that the intent of the independent audit condition in Decision No. 64836 was to determine if Qwest is providing CLECs with non-discriminatory access to its loop qualification information and systems. Staff states that while it does not discount claims of compliance and good results, there is no way to make an independent determination without an audit. Resolution
- 36. Qwest's request for a waiver from Decision No. 64836 and its proposed limited audit focuses on one system and would not provide a thorough answer to the question that was the concern in Decision No. 63836—namely is Qwest providing access to loop qualification data in a non-discriminatory manner?
- 37. The Commission was aware of the ROC but chose to require its own independent audit. Qwest has not demonstrated why our determination in Decision No. 64836 should be modified.
- 38. We concur with Staff that the independent audit of the loop qualification systems should proceed as contemplated in Decision No. 64836. Qwest has not convinced us that such an audit is unnecessary or superfluous.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Qwest is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. Sections 40-281 and 40-282 and the Commission has jurisdiction over Owest.
 - The Commission has jurisdiction over Qwest and the subject matter of its application.
 - Notice of the proceeding was provided in accordance with law.
- The recommendation set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 35 is fair, reasonable and in the public interest and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Qwest Corporation's revised Application for Waiver of the Independent Audit Requirement of Decision No. 64836 is denied.

. . .

DECISION NO.

	4 こと					
1	IT IS FURTHER ORD	ERED that Qwest Corporation shall proce	eed with the audit as required			
2	by Decision No. 64836 and present the name(s) of the independent auditor(s) for Staff approval no					
3	later than July 31, 2006.					
4	IT IS FURTHER ORD	ERED that this Decision shall become effort	ective immediately.			
5	BY ORDER O	OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO	MMISSION.			
6						
7	effrag he late	h-Diller				
8	CHAIRMAN		COMMISSIONER			
9						
10	Laver lylum		am			
11	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER			
12						
13		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRI Director of the Arizona Corpo	IAN C. McNEIL, Executive oration Commission, have			
14		hereunto set my hand and cause Commission to be affixed at the Ca	ed the official seal of the poitol, in the City of Phoenix.			
15		this <u>29th</u> day of <u>June</u> , 2006				
16		K-/MI				
17		BRIAN C. McNEIL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR				
18						
19						
20	DISSENT					
21						
22	DISSENT					
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	[44] 1 - 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 :					

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	Qwest Corporation
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-00000A-97-0238
3 4	NORMAN CURTWRIGHT QWEST CORPORATION 20 E. THOMAS ROAD, 16 TH FLOOR	RUCO 1110 W. WASHINGTON, STE. 220 PHOENIX AZ 85007
5	PHOENIX, AZ 85012	DANIEL WAGGONER
6 7	MICHAEL M. GRANT GALLGHER AND KENNEDY 2575 E CAMELBACK RD PHOENIX AZ 85016-9225	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE 2600 CENTURY SQUARE 1501 FOURTH AVE SEATTLE WA 98101-1688
8	JEFF CROCKETT	DIANE BACON
9 10	SNELL & WILMER ONE ARIZONA CENTER PHOENIX AZ 85004-0001	LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA 5818 N 7TH ST STE 206
11		PHOENIX AZ 85014-5811
12	THOMAS H. CAMPBELL LEWIS & ROCA 40 N. CENTRAL AVE.	MARK DINUNZIO COX ARIZONA TELCOM, LLC
13	PHOENIX AZ 85007	20401 N. 29th Avenue, Suite 100 PHOENIX AZ 85027
14	ANDREW O. ISAR TRI	BRIAN THOMAS
15	PO Box 470 GIG HARBOR WA 98335	TIME WARNER TELECOM, INC. 223 TAYLOR AVENUE NORTH SEATTLE, WA 98109
16		MITCHELL F. BRECHER
17	MICHAEL PATTEN ROSHKA, DEWULF & PATTEN	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLO 800 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW
18	ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 E. VAN BUREN SUITE 800	WASHINGTON DC 20006
19	PHOENIX AZ 85004-3906	KAREN CLAUSON ESCHELON TELECOM
20	THOMAS F DIXON MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP	730 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH, STE. 1200 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402
21	707 17TH STREET #3900 DENVER CO 80202	CURT HUTTSELL
22	JOYCE HUNDLEY	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, INC. 4 TRIAD CENTER, STE. 200
23	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ANTITURST DIVISION	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84180
24	1401 H STREET NW STE 8000 WASHINGTON DC 20530	RICHARD P. KOLB ONE POINT COMMUNICATIONS
25	JOAN BURKE OSBORN MALEDON	TWO CONWAY PARK 150 FIELD DRIVE, STE. 300 LAKE FOREST, IL 60045
26	2929 N CENTRAL AVE 21ST FLOOR	물이 생긴 그 등 없다고 하셨다. [1] 그렇게 있
27	PO BOX 36379 PHOENIX AZ 85067-6379	MIKE ALLENTOFF GLOBAL CROSSING SERVICES, INC.
28	SCOTT S WAKEFIELD	1080 PITTSFORD VICTOR ROAD PITTSFORD, NY 14534

2	ESPIRE COMMUNICATIONS 1129 PASEO DE PERALTA SANTA FE, NM 87501 MICHAEL MORRIS
5	ALLEGIANCE TELECOM OF ARIZONA, INC. 505 SANSOME STREET, 20 TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111
6 7	TRACI GRUNDON DAVID, WRIGHT & TREMAINE 1300 S.W. FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97201
8 9 10	RICHARD SAMPSON Z-TEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 601 S. HARBOUR ISLAND, STE. 220 TAMPA, FL 33602
11 12	KEVIN CHAPMAN SBC TELECOM, INC. 1010 N. ST. MARY'S, ROOM 1234 SAN ANTONIO, TX 78215
13 14	COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY 7901 LOWREY BLVD. DENVER, CO 80230
15 16 17	CHRISTOPHER KEMPLEY, CHIEF COUNSEL ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85007
18 19	ERNEST JOHNSON, DIRECTOR ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET
20	PHOENIX, AZ 85007
21	
22	등록 등에 위한 시간을 하는 이 있는 말중요. 1902년 - 1일 전화 12 등 전환 1일
23	
24	
25	
26	[2] 마스크를 내려보고 하겠습니다. 그는 그렇게 되었는데, 그들의 기를 들고 말했다. 그는 그
77	

된 이 시간 회사 생활을 하시겠다고				
		그 나는 동네 하다		
	- 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15			